

SOME RESULTS ON THE SECOND GAUSSIAN MAP FOR CURVES

ELISABETTA COLOMBO
PAOLA FREDIANI

ABSTRACT. We study the second Gaussian map for a curve of genus g , in relation with the second fundamental form of the period map $j : M_g \rightarrow A_g$. We exhibit a class of infinitely many curves with surjective second Gaussian map. We compute its rank on the hyperelliptic and trigonal locus and show global generation of its image in $H^0(X, 4K_X)$ for X not hyperelliptic nor trigonal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first Gaussian map for the canonical series has been intensively studied, and it has been shown that for a general curve of genus different from 9 and ≤ 10 it is injective, while for genus ≥ 10 , different from 11, it is surjective ([7], [20], [8]). In [23] it is proven that if a curve lies on a K3 surface, the first Gaussian map can't be surjective and it is known ([18]) that the general curve of genus 11 lies on a K3 surface.

In this paper we study some properties of the second Gaussian map $\mu_2 : I_2(K_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, 4K_X)$. Our geometrical motivation comes from its relation with the curvature of the moduli space M_g of curves of genus g with the Siegel metric induced by the period map $j : M_g \rightarrow A_g$, that we started to analyze in [10].

There the curvature is computed using the formula for the associated second fundamental form given in [11]. In particular in [11] it is proven that the second fundamental form lifts the second Gaussian map μ_2 as stated in an unpublished paper of Green-Griffiths (cf. [15]).

In [10], (3.8) we give a formula for the holomorphic sectional curvature of M_g along the a Schiffer variation ξ_P , for P a point on the curve X , in terms of the holomorphic sectional curvature of A_g and the second Gaussian map.

The relation of the second Gaussian map with curvature properties of M_g in A_g suggests that its rank could give information on the geometry of M_g . Note that surjectivity can be expected for a general curve of genus at least

¹Elisabetta Colombo, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Milano, via Saldini 50, I-20133, Milano, Italy. e-mail: elisabetta.colombo@mat.unimi.it

Paola Frediani, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pavia, via Ferrata 1, I-27100 Pavia, Italy. e-mail: paola.frediani@unipv.it

The present research took place in the framework of the PRIN 2005 of MIUR: "Spazi dei moduli e teoria di Lie" and PRIN 2006 of MIUR "Geometry on algebraic varieties".

AMS Subject classification: 14H10, 14H40, 14H45, 14H51.

18. Recall that M_g is uniruled for $g \leq 15$, it has Kodaira dimension at least 2 for $g = 23$, and it is of general type for all other values of $g \geq 22$.

In this direction in this paper we exhibit infinitely many examples of curves lying on the product of two curves with surjective second Gaussian map. Other examples of curves whose second Gaussian map is surjective were given by in [4] for complete intersections. Both classes of examples generalize constructions given by Wahl for the first Gaussian map in [21], [23].

We are also able to determine the rank of μ_2 on the hyperelliptic and trigonal loci. More precisely for any hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 3$ we show that $\text{rank}(\mu_2) = 2g - 5$ and its image has the Weierstrass points as base points. For any trigonal (non hyperelliptic) curve of genus $g \geq 8$ we show that $\text{rank}(\mu_2) = 4g - 18$ and its image has the ramification points of the g_3^1 as base points.

Finally we prove that for any non-hyperelliptic, non-trigonal curve of genus $g \geq 5$ the image of μ_2 has no base points.

In [10] we apply these results to the holomorphic sectional curvature of M_g . In particular along a Schiffer variation ξ_P the holomorphic sectional curvature $H(\xi_P)$ of M_g is strictly smaller than the holomorphic sectional curvature of A_g for a non-trigonal, nor hyperelliptic curve ([10], (4.5)). Instead, if P is either a Weierstrass point of a hyperelliptic curve or a ramification point of the g_3^1 on a trigonal curve, the holomorphic sectional curvature $H(\xi_P)$ is equal to the holomorphic sectional curvature of A_g , which equals -1 ([10], (4.5), (5.3)).

The computations are based on the observation that, for a quadric Q of rank at most 4, $\mu_2(Q)$ can be written as the product of the first Gaussian maps associated to sections of the two adjoint line bundles L and $K \otimes L^{-1}$ which define the quadric. As a first straightforward consequence, we show that for any curve the rank of μ_2 is greater or equal to $g - 3$.

To study the trigonal case we use the related results on the first Gaussian map for trigonal curves of [13] and [6]. A crucial step to determine the rank of the first and hence the second Gaussian map on the trigonal locus is the observation that a trigonal curve lies on a rational normal scroll. A natural question is to understand whether restrictions on the rank of μ_2 can be obtained if a curve lies on a special surface, as it happens for the first Gaussian map and K3 surfaces, or if it occurs in a non trivial linear system of a surface (cf. [19] for related results). We intend to continue our investigations on the rank properties of μ_2 for general curves and for curves on surfaces in the near future.

The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2 we study the second Gaussian map on quadrics of rank less or equal to 4, in terms of the first Gaussian maps associated to sections of the two adjoint line bundles L and $K \otimes L^{-1}$ which define the quadric.

In Section 3 we show a class of infinitely many examples of curves with surjective second Gaussian map.

In Section 4 we determine the rank of μ_2 for hyperelliptic and trigonal curves.

In Section 5 we prove injectivity of μ_2 for general curve of genus at most six by specialization on trigonal curves and on the smooth plane quintic.

In Section 6 we study the global generation of the image of μ_2 .

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Gilberto Bini and Pietro Pirola for several fruitful suggestions and discussions on the subject.

2. THE SECOND GAUSSIAN MAP

We first recall the definition of the Gaussian maps (cf. [22]). Let X be a smooth projective curve, $S := X \times X$, $\Delta \subset S$ be the diagonal. Let L be a line bundle on X and $L_S := p_1^*(L) \otimes p_2^*(L)$, where $p_i : S \rightarrow X$ are the natural projections. Consider the restriction map

$$\tilde{\mu}_{n,L} : H^0(S, L_S(-n\Delta)) \rightarrow H^0(\Delta, L_S(-n\Delta)|_{\Delta}).$$

Notice that since $\mathcal{O}(\Delta)|_{\Delta} \cong T_X$, we have

$$H^0(\Delta, L_S(-n\Delta)|_{\Delta}) \cong H^0(X, 2L \otimes nK_X).$$

In the case $L = K_X$, $I_2(K_X) \subset H^0(S, K_S(-2\Delta))$, so we can define the second Gaussian map

$$\mu_2 : I_2(K_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, 4K_X),$$

as the restriction $\tilde{\mu}_{2,K|I_2(K_X)}$.

As above we fix a basis $\{\omega_i\}$ of $H^0(K_X)$. In local coordinates $\omega_i = f_i(z)dz$. Let $Q \in I_2(K_X)$, $Q = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}\omega_i \otimes \omega_j$, recall that $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}f_i f_j \equiv 0$, and since $a_{i,j}$ are symmetric, we also have $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}f'_i f'_j \equiv 0$. The local expression of $\mu_2(Q)$ is

$$(1) \quad \mu_2(Q) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} f''_i f_j (dz)^4 = - \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} f'_i f'_j (dz)^4.$$

We also recall the definition of the first Gaussian map (cf. [21]),

$$\mu_{1,L} : \Lambda^2 H^0(L) \rightarrow H^0(2L \otimes K_X),$$

as the restriction of $\tilde{\mu}_{1,L}$ to $\Lambda^2 H^0(L) \subset H^0(S, L_S(-\Delta))$. In local coordinates, if $s_0, s_1 \in H^0(L)$, $s_i = g_i l$, where l is a local section of L , we have

$$\mu_{1,L}(s_0 \wedge s_1) = (g_0 g'_1 - g_1 g'_0) l^2 dz.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(2) \quad \text{div}(\mu_{1,L}(s_0 \wedge s_1)) = 2F + R,$$

where F is the bases locus of $|\langle s_0, s_1 \rangle| \subset |H^0(L)|$, and R is the ramification divisor of the induced morphism. (See for example [8], [22])

Remark 2.1. Recall that there is the following bijection:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[Q] \in \mathbb{P}(I_2(K_X)) \mid rk(Q) \leq 4\} \leftrightarrow \\ & \{\{L, K_X - L, V, W\} \mid V \subset H^0(L), \dim V = 2, W \subset H^0(K_X - L), \dim W = 2\}. \\ & \text{Rank}(Q) = 3 \text{ if and only if } 2L = K_X, \text{ and } V = W \text{ (see for example [3] p.261)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. If a quadric Q of rank at most 4 corresponds to $\{L, K_X - L, V, W\}$ and $V = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$, $W = \langle t_0, t_1 \rangle$, then

$$\mu_2(Q) = \mu_{1,L}(s_0 \wedge s_1) \mu_{1,K-L}(t_0 \wedge t_1).$$

In particular $\mu_2(Q) \neq 0$.

Proof. By construction $Q = (s_0 t_0) \otimes (s_1 t_1) - (s_0 t_1) \otimes (s_1 t_0) \in I_2(K_X)$. Locally $s_i = g_i l$, where l is a local section of L , $t_i = h_i l^{-1} dz$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(Q) &= -((g_0 h_0)'(g_1 h_1)' - (g_0 h_1)'(h_0 g_1)')(dz)^4 = \\ &= (g_0 g_1' - g_1 g_0')(h_0 h_1' - h_1 h_0')(l^2 dz)((l^{-1} dz)^2 dz) = \mu_{1,L}(s_0 \wedge s_1) \mu_{1,K-L}(t_0 \wedge t_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.3. Recall that by a theorem of Marc Green ([14], see also [3], pp. 255), for a non-hyperelliptic smooth curve of genus $g \geq 4$, I_2 is generated by quadrics of rank ≤ 4 .

We now make an easy linear algebra remark, which will be useful in the sequel.

Remark 2.4. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a projective variety. Let $f : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map, $\dim(W) = m + 1$, $\bar{f} : \mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W) = \mathbb{P}^m$ be the corresponding projection. Let K be the kernel of f , and assume that $\mathbb{P}(K) \cap X = \emptyset$, i.e. $\bar{f}|_X$ is a morphism. This clearly implies $\dim(X) + \dim(K) - 1 \leq n - 1$, or equivalently $\text{rank}(f) \geq \dim(X)$.

Consider the rational map

$$\bar{\mu}_2 : \mathbb{P}(I_2(K_X)) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(4K_X)), [Q] \mapsto [\mu_2(Q)].$$

Let $\Gamma = \{[Q] \in \mathbb{P}(I_2(K_X)) \mid rk(Q) \leq 4\}$, by (2.2) the restriction of the above map to Γ is a morphism.

Proposition 2.5. For any curve of genus $g \geq 4$,

$$rk(\mu_2) \geq \dim \Gamma + 1 \geq g - 3.$$

Proof. Since $\bar{\mu}_2|_\Gamma$ is a morphism, by (2.4) we know that $rk(\mu_2) \geq \dim \Gamma + 1$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{W} \subset W_{g-1}^1$ the subset of line bundles $L \in W_{g-1}^1$ such that $h^0(L) = 2$. $\mathcal{W}$ is a non empty open subset of W_{g-1}^1 of dimension $\geq g - 4$ (cf. e.g. [3]). If we set $\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{W}/\langle \tau \rangle$, where τ is the involution mapping L to $K_X - L$, we can identify $\mathcal{Y}$ with a subset of Γ . In fact, given a line bundle $L \in \mathcal{W}$, the set $\{L, K - L, H^0(L), H^0(K - L)\}$ determines a quadric of rank ≤ 4 as we have seen in (2.1).

Therefore, $\dim(\Gamma) \geq \dim(\mathcal{W}) \geq g - 4$, hence $rk(\mu_2) \geq g - 3$. $\square$

3. SURJECTIVITY

In this section we give a class of examples of curves contained in the product of two curves for which the second Gaussian map is surjective, as Wahl does in Theorem 4.11 [21] for the first Gaussian map. Other examples of curves whose second Gaussian map is surjective have been obtained by Ballico and Fontanari ([4]) in the case of complete intersections, generalizing Wahl's result on the first Gaussian map for complete intersections ([23]).

Let C_1, C_2 be two smooth curves of respective genera g_1, g_2 , denote by $K_i = K_{C_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, choose D_i divisors on C_i of degree d_i , $i = 1, 2$. Let $X = C_1 \times C_2$, $C \in |p_1^*(D_1) \otimes p_2^*(D_2)|$ a smooth curve, where p_i is the projection from $C_1 \times C_2$ on C_i , $K_X(C) = p_1^*(K_1(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2(D_2))$.

Theorem 3.1. *If either $g_1, g_2 \geq 2$, $d_i \geq 2g_i + 5$, $i = 1, 2$, or $g_1 \geq 2$, $g_2 = 1$, $d_1 \geq 2g_1 + 5$, $d_2 \geq 7$, or $g_2 = 0$, $d_2 \geq 7$, $d_2(g_1 - 1) > 2d_1 \geq 4g_1 + 10$, then μ_{2,K_C} is surjective for a smooth curve $C \in |p_1^*D_1 \otimes p_2^*D_2|$.*

Therefore under these assumptions, for the general curve of genus $g = 1 + (g_2 - 1)d_1 + (g_1 - 1)d_2 + d_1d_2$ the second Gaussian map is surjective.

Proof. Denote by $I_2(K_X(C))$ the kernel of the multiplication map

$$S^2 H^0(K_X(C)) \rightarrow H^0(K_X^2(2C)).$$

Let $\mu_{2,K_X(C)}^X : I_2(K_X(C)) \rightarrow H^0(S^2 \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^2(2C))$ be the second Gaussian map of the line bundle $K_X(C)$ on the surface X . We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_2(K_X(C)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{2,K_X(C)}^X} & H^0(S^2 \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^2(2C)) \\ \downarrow g & & \searrow p_1 \\ & & H^0(S^2 \Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^2) \\ & & \swarrow p_2 \\ I_2(K_C) & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & H^0(K_C^4) \end{array}$$

where p_1 is the restriction map, and p_2 is the map coming from the conormal extension.

We will prove that p_1, p_2 and $\mu_{2,K_X(C)}^X$ are surjective. From this we clearly obtain the surjectivity of μ_2 .

We want to show that $H^1(\Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^2(-C)) = 0$, hence surjectivity of p_2 will follow.

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^2(-C)) &= H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(p_1^*(K_1^3(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^2(D_2)))) \oplus \\ &\oplus H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(p_1^*(K_1^2(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^3(D_2)))). \end{aligned}$$

so it is sufficient to check that, under our assumptions, $\mathcal{O}_C(p_1^*(K_1^3(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^2(D_2)))$, and $\mathcal{O}_C(p_1^*(K_1^2(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^3(D_2)))$ have both degree greater than $2g(C) - 2 = d_1(2g_2 - 2 + d_2) + d_2(2g_1 - 2 + d_1)$. Let us now consider the map p_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^2(C) &= (p_1^*(K_1^4(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^2(D_2))) \oplus \\ &\quad (p_1^*(K_1^2(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^4(D_2))) \oplus \\ &\quad (p_1^*(K_1^3(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^3(D_2))), \end{aligned}$$

thus by Künneth if either $g_i \geq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, or $g_2 = 0$, $d_2 \geq 7$, $g_1 \geq 1$, $H^1(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^2(C)) = 0$, hence p_1 is surjective.

We want now to show that $\mu_{2,K_X(C)}^X$ is surjective. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} S^2H^0(K_X(C)) &= (S^2H^0(K_1(D_1)) \otimes S^2H^0(K_2(D_2))) \oplus \\ &\quad (\Lambda^2H^0(K_1(D_1)) \otimes \Lambda^2H^0(K_2(D_2))), \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(K_X(C)) &= \{(I_2(K_1(D_1)) \otimes S^2H^0(K_2(D_2))) + (S^2H^0(K_1(D_1)) \otimes I_2(K_2(D_2)))\} \oplus \\ &\quad \oplus (\Lambda^2H^0(K_1(D_1)) \otimes \Lambda^2H^0(K_2(D_2))). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^2(2C)) &= (H^0(C_1, K_1^4(2D_1)) \otimes H^0(C_2, K_2^2(2D_2))) \oplus \\ &\quad (H^0(C_1, K_1^2(2D_1)) \otimes H^0(C_2, K_2^4(2D_2))) \oplus \\ &\quad (H^0(C_1, K_1^3(2D_1)) \otimes H^0(C_2, K_2^3(2D_2))), \end{aligned}$$

one can easily check that $\mu_{2,K_X(C)}^X : I_2(K_X(C)) \rightarrow H^0(S^2\Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^2(2C))$ is the sum of the three following maps:

$$\mu_{2,K_1(D_1)} \otimes m_2 : I_2(K_1(D_1)) \otimes S^2H^0(K_2(D_2)) \rightarrow H^0(K_1^4(2D_1)) \otimes H^0(K_2^2(2D_2)),$$

$$n_2 \otimes \mu_{2,K_2(D_2)} : S^2H^0(K_1(D_1)) \otimes I_2(K_2(D_2)) \rightarrow H^0(K_1^2(2D_1)) \otimes H^0(K_2^4(2D_2)),$$

$$\mu_{1,K_1(D_1)} \otimes \mu_{1,K_2(D_2)} : \Lambda^2(H^0(K_1(D_1)) \otimes \Lambda^2H^0(K_2(D_2))) \rightarrow H^0(K_1^3(2D_1)) \otimes H^0(K_2^3(2D_2)),$$

where m_2 and n_2 are the multiplication maps. Now we apply Theorem (1.7) of [5] to the line bundles $L_i := K_i(D_i)$ on the curves C_i , $i = 1, 2$, which says that if $\deg(L_i) =: l_i$ satisfies $2l_i \geq 3(2g_i + 2) + 2g_i - 1$, then both μ_{2,L_i} and μ_{1,L_i} are surjective. So If $d_i \geq 2g_i + 5$, μ_{2,L_i} and μ_{1,L_i} are surjective, hence $\mu_{2,K_X(C)}^X$ is surjective, and this concludes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.2. *The example of lowest genus of a smooth curve $C \in |p_1^*D_1 \otimes p_2^*D_2|$ with surjective second Gaussian map is 71, obtained choosing $g_1 = 2$, $g_2 = 1$, $d_1 = 9$, $d_2 = 7$.*

4. HYPERELLIPTIC AND TRIGONAL CURVES

Assume now that X is either a hyperelliptic curve of genus ≥ 3 , or a trigonal curve of genus $g \geq 4$. Let $|F|$ denote the g_2^1 in the hyperelliptic case, the g_3^1 in the trigonal case. Let $\phi_F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the induced morphism and $\nu : \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ be the Veronese embedding, so that in the hyperelliptic case $\phi_K = \nu \circ \phi_F$, where ϕ_K is the canonical map. Observe that in the hyperelliptic case the hyperelliptic involution τ acts as $-Id$ on $H^0(K_X)$, so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_2(K_X) \rightarrow S^2(H^0(K_X)) \rightarrow H^0(2K_X)^+ \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H^0(2K_X)^+$ denotes the τ -invariant part of $H^0(2K_X)$ whose dimension is $(2g-1)$ and $I_2(K_X)$ is the vector space of the quadrics containing the rational normal curve.

Set $L := K_X - F$, and fix a basis $\{x, y\}$ of $H^0(F)$, and a basis $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$ of $H^0(L)$ both in the hyperelliptic and in the trigonal case. We have a linear map

$$\psi : \Lambda^2(H^0(L)) \rightarrow I_2, \quad t_i \wedge t_j \mapsto Q_{ij} = xt_i \odot yt_j - xt_j \odot yt_i.$$

We recall that in both cases the linear map $\psi : \Lambda^2(H^0(L)) \rightarrow I_2$ is an isomorphism as can be easily checked or found in [1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be either a hyperelliptic curve of genus ≥ 3 , or a trigonal curve of genus $g \geq 4$, let $q_1, \dots, q_l$ be the ramification points of either the g_2^1 , or of the g_3^1 . Then*

$$\mu_2(Q) = \mu_{1,F}(x \wedge y) \mu_{1,L}(\psi^{-1}(Q)),$$

for any quadric Q of rank 4. In particular the image of μ_2 is contained in $H^0(4K_X - (q_1 + \dots + q_l))$ and $\text{rank}(\mu_2) = \text{rank}(\mu_{1,L})$.

Proof. The first statement is straightforward. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(\mu_2(Q)) &= \text{div}(\mu_{1,F}(x \wedge y)) + \text{div}(\mu_{1,L}(\psi^{-1}(Q))) = \\ &= q_1 + \dots + q_l + \text{div}(\mu_{1,L}(\psi^{-1}(Q))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_2(Q)(q_i) = 0 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, l$.

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let X be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 3$, then the rank of μ_2 is $2g-5$.*

Proof. Given a hyperelliptic curve of genus g with equation $y^2 = f(x)$, where f has degree $2g+2$ and only simple roots, a basis of $H^0(K_X)$ is $\{\omega_i = x^i \frac{dx}{y} \mid 0 \leq i \leq g-1\}$. Let $|F|$ be the g_2^1 on X and we assume $F = \phi_F^{-1}(0) =: p_1 + p_2$.

Set $L = K_X - F = K_X - p_1 - p_2$, $H^0(L) \subset H^0(K_X)$ and let $\mu_{1,L} : \Lambda^2 H^0(L) = \Lambda^2 H^0(K_X - p_1 - p_2) \rightarrow H^0(2L + K_X) = H^0(3K_X - 2p_1 - 2p_2)$ be the first Gaussian map of L , then

$$\mu_{1,L} = \mu_{1,K} |_{\Lambda^2 H^0(K_X - p_1 - p_2)}.$$

By (4.1), the rank of μ_2 is equal to the rank of $\mu_{1,L}$. As it is shown in [8],

$$\mu_{1,K}(\omega_i \wedge \omega_j) = (i-j) \frac{x^{i+j-1}}{y^2} (dx)^3, \quad 0 \leq i < j \leq g-1,$$

so there are exactly $2g-3$ distinct powers of x .

Then clearly a basis of $H^0(K_X - p_1 - p_2)$ is given by $\{x^i \frac{dx}{y}, i > 0\}$. We want to compute the dimension of the span of $\{\mu_{1,K}(\omega_i \wedge \omega_j), 0 < i < j \leq g-1\}$. Observe that $l := i+j-1 = 0$ if and only if $i=0, j=1$; $l=1$ if and only if $i=0, j=2$. But if $l \geq 3$, $l=i+j-1$ also for some $i, j > 0$.

Hence $\text{rank}(\mu_{1,L}) = \text{rank}(\mu_{1,K}) - 2 = 2g-5$. $\square$

Assume now that X is non-hyperelliptic trigonal curve of genus $g \geq 4$. Let $|F|$ be the g_3^1 on X , assume $F = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$, $p_i \in X$. Let us denote by $L = K_X - F = K_X - p_1 - p_2 - p_3$, $\deg(L) = 2g-5$, $h^0(L) = g-2$. So $H^0(L) \subset H^0(K_X)$ and $\mu_{1,L} = \mu_{1,K}|_{\Lambda^2 H^0(K_X - p_1 - p_2 - p_3)}$. In [8] it is proven that for the general trigonal curve of genus $g \geq 4$, $\dim(\text{coker}(\mu_{1,K})) = g+5$, moreover specific examples of trigonal curves (whose genera are all equal to 1 modulo 3) such that the corank of $\mu_{1,K}$ is $g+5$ are exhibited. Using results of [13], in [6] Brawner proves that $\dim(\text{coker}(\mu_{1,K})) = g+5$ for any trigonal curve of genus $g \geq 4$.

We shall now compute the rank of μ_2 for trigonal curves. By (4.1) it suffices to compute $\text{rank}(\mu_{1,L})$ and we will do it following the computation done in [13] and [6] for $\mu_{1,K}$.

Recall that a canonically embedded trigonal curve of genus g lies on a rational normal scroll $S_{k,l}$, where $k \leq l$, $l+k = g-2$ and k is the Maroni invariant, which is bounded by

$$(3) \quad \frac{g-4}{3} \leq k \leq \frac{g-2}{2},$$

(cf. [17]).

The surface $S_{k,l}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}_n$, with $n = l-k$. Let us denote by H the hyperplane section, by R the fibre of the ruling, and set $B \equiv H - lR$.

We have

$$H^2 = g-2, \quad B^2 = -n,$$

$$C \equiv 3H - (g-4)R,$$

$$K_S \equiv -2H + (g-4)R,$$

hence

$$K_S + C - R \equiv H - R \equiv B + (l-1)R,$$

and

$$(K_S + C - R)|_C \equiv L.$$

Theorem 4.3. *For any trigonal curve C of genus $g \geq 8$, the rank of μ_2 is $4g-18$. Hence the general curve of genus $g \geq 8$, μ_2 has rank greater or equal to $4g-18$.*

Proof. As in [13], (2.1) we have the following commutative diagram involving the first Gaussian map $\mu_{1,H-R}^S$ for the scroll $S := S_{k,l}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^2 H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K_S + C - R)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{1,H-R}^S} & H^0(S, \Omega_S^1(2K_S + 2C - 2R)) \\ \text{Res} \downarrow & & \gamma' \downarrow \\ \Lambda^2 H^0(C, K_C - F) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{1,L}} & H^0(C, 3K_C - 2F) \end{array}$$

We will prove that the map $\mu_{1,H-R}^S$ is surjective, γ' is injective and Res is surjective. This implies that $\text{rank}(\mu_{1,L}) = h^0(S, \Omega_S^1(2K_S + 2C - 2R)) = h^0(S, \Omega_S^1(2B + 2(l-1)R))$.

Observe that by the bound (3) of the Maroni invariant, $k \geq 2$ for $g \geq 8$, hence the hypothesis of corollary (3.3.2) of [13] are satisfied and thus $h^0(S, \Omega_S^1(2B + 2(l-1)R)) = 4g - 18$. In fact corollary (3.3.2) of [13] asserts that $h^0(S, \Omega_S^1(rB + sR)) = 2rs - nr^2 - 2$, if $r \geq 1$, and $s \geq nr + 2$.

The surjectivity of $\mu_{1,H-R}^S$ follows by theorem (4.5) of [13] that says that $\mu_{1,rB+sR}^S$ is surjective if $r \geq 0$ and $s \geq nr + 1$.

In [6], (3.4) it is proven that the map

$$\gamma : H^0(S, \Omega_S^1(2H)) \rightarrow H^0(C, 3K_C)$$

is injective. Since γ' is the restriction of γ to $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1(2H - 2R))$, also γ' is injective.

We finally show that the restriction map

$$H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(H - R)) \rightarrow H^0(C, L)$$

is surjective. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(H - R - C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(H - R) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(H - R) \rightarrow 0.$$

An easy computation on the scroll shows that $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(H - R - C)) = H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-2H + (g-5)R)) = 0$, proving our assertion. $\square$

5. INJECTIVITY FOR LOW GENUS

We will now exhibit some examples of computations of the rank of μ_2 for genus ≤ 7 from which it will follow that μ_2 is injective for the general curve of genus ≤ 6 . Note that if $g(X) = 4$, $I_2(K_X)$ has dimension 1, so μ_2 is injective.

Proposition 5.1. *For any trigonal curve X of genus 5, μ_2 is injective. Hence for the general curve of genus 5 μ_2 is injective.*

Proof. For a curve of genus 5 the dimension of $I_2(K_X)$ is 3. Let us assume that X is trigonal. Then there exists a line bundle L on X such that $h^0(L) = 2$, $\deg(L) = 3$, so that $h^0(K - L) = 3$. Let $\text{Gr}(2, H^0(K - L))$ be the Grassmannian of the 2 dimensional subspaces in $H^0(K - L)$. To any $W \in$

$Gr(2, H^0(K - L))$ we associate the quadric Q_W of rank 4 corresponding to the set $\{L, K - L, H^0(L), W\}$ as in (2.2). Thus we have a morphism

$$Gr(2, H^0(K - L)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(4K)), \quad W \mapsto \bar{\mu}_2(Q_W).$$

So by (2.4) we have

$$\text{rank}(\mu_2) \geq \dim(Gr(2, H^0(K - L))) + 1 = 3$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a smooth plane quintic, then the map μ_2 is injective and its image has no base points. Hence for the general curve of genus 6 μ_2 is injective.*

Proof. X has genus 6, so the dimension of $I_2(K_X)$ is 6. We will find 6 quadrics of rank at most 4 such that their images under μ_2 are linearly independent. Observe that $K_X \equiv \mathcal{O}_X(2)$, hence $L := \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is such that $2L \equiv K_X$. Let q_1, q_2, q_3 be distinct points of X in general position such that the tangent line r_i of X at q_i is a simple tangent, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Assume furthermore that $P_{12} := r_1 \cap r_2$, $P_{13} := r_1 \cap r_3$, $P_{23} := r_2 \cap r_3$ are in general position and do not lie on X . Denote by $\pi_{12}, \pi_{13}, \pi_{23}$ the respective projections $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ from the points P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23} . These 3 projections π_{ij} correspond to 3 pencils $V_{ij} \subset H^0(L)$ with $2L \equiv K$. Let R_{ij} (for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$) be the ramification divisor of π_{ij} , then we have

$$R_{ij} = q_i + q_j + A_{ij}.$$

Observe that by construction $q_3 \notin A_{12}$, otherwise P_{12} would lie on r_3 and we would have $P_{12} = P_{13} = P_{23}$. Analogously $q_1 \notin A_{23}$, $q_2 \notin A_{13}$. Observe that, since r_2 and r_3 are simple tangents, $q_2, q_3 \notin A_{23}$, hence there must exist a point $q_4 \in A_{23}$, which is different from q_2 and q_3 . Notice that $q_4 \notin R_{12} \cup R_{13}$. In fact, by construction $P_{23} \in r_4$ (r_4 is the tangent line of X at q_4), hence $P_{23} = r_2 \cap r_3 = r_4 \cap r_2 = r_4 \cap r_3$. So if $q_4 \in R_{12}$, then $P_{12} \in r_4$, thus $P_{12} = r_4 \cap r_2 = P_{23}$, a contradiction. Analogously, if $q_4 \in R_{13}$ we get $P_{12} = P_{23} = P_{13}$, which is impossible.

Define now the 6 quadrics in Γ by the following 6 sets as in (2.2):

$$Q_{ij,kl} \longleftrightarrow \{L, L = K - L, V_{ij}, V_{kl}\}$$

$$1 \leq i < j \leq 3, 1 \leq k < l \leq 3.$$

We have by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(\mu_2(Q_{12,12})) &= 2R_{12} = 2q_1 + 2q_2 + 2A_{12}, \\ \text{div}(\mu_2(Q_{12,13})) &= R_{12} + R_{13} = 2q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + A_{12} + A_{13}, \\ \text{div}(\mu_2(Q_{12,23})) &= R_{12} + R_{23} = q_1 + 2q_2 + q_3 + A_{12} + A_{23}, \\ \text{div}(\mu_2(Q_{13,13})) &= 2R_{13} = 2q_1 + 2q_3 + 2A_{13}, \\ \text{div}(\mu_2(Q_{13,23})) &= R_{13} + R_{23} = q_1 + q_2 + 2q_3 + A_{13} + A_{23}, \\ \text{div}(\mu_2(Q_{23,23})) &= 2R_{23} = 2q_2 + 2q_3 + 2A_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that there exists a linear combination $\sum \lambda_{ij,kl} \mu_2(Q_{ij,kl}) = 0$, then by evaluating in q_1 we get $\lambda_{23,23} \mu_2(Q_{23,23})(q_1) = 0$, thus $\lambda_{23,23} = 0$,

since $q_1 \notin R_{23}$. Evaluation in q_2 yields $\lambda_{13,13}\mu_2(Q_{13,13})(q_2) = 0$, thus $\lambda_{13,13} = 0$, since $q_2 \notin R_{13}$. By evaluating in q_3 we obtain $\lambda_{12,12}\mu_2(Q_{12,12})(q_3) = 0$, thus $\lambda_{12,12} = 0$, since $q_3 \notin R_{12}$. We now evaluate in $q_4 \in A_{23}$ and we have $\lambda_{12,13}\mu_2(Q_{12,13})(q_4) = 0$. This implies $\lambda_{12,13} = 0$, since otherwise we would have $q_4 \in A_{12} + A_{13}$, which is impossible. Finally we must have $\lambda_{12,23} = \lambda_{13,23} = 0$, otherwise we would have $R_{12} = R_{13}$, a contradiction. This proves injectivity of μ_2 .

Notice that if P is a base point of the image of μ_2 , the three projections π_{ij} must have a common ramification point, which is impossible by construction. $\square$

If X is a trigonal curve of genus 7, the argument of (5.1) yields $\text{rank}(\mu_2) \geq \dim \text{Gr}(2, H^0(K-L)) + 1 = 7$. We will now exhibit an example of a trigonal curve of genus 7 such that $\text{rank}(\mu_2) = \text{rank}(\mu_{1,L}) = 9$. Our example is the cyclic covering of $\mathbb{P}^1$ constructed in [8], whose affine equation is

$$y^3 = x^9 - 1.$$

In [8] the map $\mu_{1,K}$ is explicitly computed on the elements $\sigma_{ij} = x^i y^j \frac{dx}{y^2}$, $0 \leq j \leq 1$, $0 \leq i \leq 3(2-j) - 2$, which form a basis of $H^0(K_X)$. Namely it is shown that the image of $\mu_{1,K}$ (of dimension 18) is spanned by the following 3 types of elements:

$$(4) \quad \mu_{1,K}(\sigma_{i0} \wedge \sigma_{k0}) = [(k-i)x^{i+k-1}y^{-4}] \cdot (dx)^3, \quad 0 \leq i < k \leq 4,$$

$$(5) \quad \mu_{1,K}(\sigma_{i0} \wedge \sigma_{k1}) = [(k-i)x^{i+k-1}y^{-3} + 3x^{i+k+8}y^{-6}] \cdot (dx)^3, \\ 0 \leq i \leq 4, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 1,$$

$$(6) \quad \mu_{1,K}(\sigma_{01} \wedge \sigma_{11}) = y^{-2} \cdot (dx)^3.$$

Now the g_3^1 on our curve is the linear system $|F| = |p_1 + p_2 + p_3|$ where p_i can be chosen to be the points $(0, y_i)$, with $y_i^3 = -1$. So, if $L = K_X - p_1 - p_2 - p_3$ we can identify $H^0(L)$ with the subspace of $\langle \sigma_{ij} \rangle$ generated by the elements σ_{ij} , where $i > 0$. Since $\mu_{1,L} = \mu_{1,K}|_{\Lambda^2 H^0(L)}$, one has to compute the dimension of $\langle \mu_{1,K}(\sigma_{ij} \wedge \sigma_{kl}) \mid i, k > 0 \rangle$ which turns out to be 9.

6. BASE POINTS

We will now show global generation of the image of μ_2 for curves which are not hyperelliptic nor trigonal.

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that X is smooth curve of genus $g \geq 5$, which is non-hyperelliptic and non-trigonal. Then for any $P \in X$ there exists a quadric $Q \in I_2$ such that $\mu_2(Q)(P) \neq 0$. Equivalently $\text{Im}(\mu_2) \cap H^0(4K_X - P) \neq \text{Im}(\mu_2)$, $\forall P \in X$.*

Proof. We will show that for any $P \in X$ there exists a quadric Q of rank 4 such that $\mu_2(Q)(P) \neq 0$. We recall that any component of the space $W_{g-1}^1(X)$ has dimension greater or equal to $g-4$, and in [12] lemma (2.1.1)

it is proven that if X is non-hyperelliptic, non-trigonal and not isomorphic to a smooth plane quintic, there exists a line bundle $L \in W_{g-1}^1$ such that both $|L|$ and $|K_X - L|$ are base point free. If X is a plane quintic, by (5.2) we know that μ_2 has no base points. So we can assume that there exists a non empty irreducible open subset $\mathcal{V}$ in $W_{g-1}^1(X)$ which consists of line bundles L such that $h^0(L) = 2$, $L \not\cong K_X - L$, both $|L|$ and $|K_X - L|$ are base point free. So the condition $\mu_2(Q)(P) = 0$ for the quadric associated to $|L|$ and $|K_X - L|$ says that either P is a ramification point for the morphism $\phi_{|L|} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ or for the morphism $\phi_{|K_X - L|} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

We claim that there exists $L \in \mathcal{V}$ such that P is at most a simple ramification point for both $\phi_{|L|}$ and $\phi_{|K_X - L|}$, that is $h^0(L - 3P) = 0$ and $h^0(K - L - 3P) = 0$. In fact, assume that for all $L \in \mathcal{V}$ either $h^0(L - 3P) \geq 1$, or $h^0(K - L - 3P) \geq 1$. Consider the maps $F_1 : \text{Sym}^{g-4}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$, $F_1(D) = D + 3P$, $F_2 : \text{Sym}^{g-4}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$, $F_2(D) = K_X - D - 3P$. Then $\mathcal{V}$ is contained in $\text{Im}(F_1) \cup \text{Im}(F_2)$, and since they have the same dimension and $\mathcal{V}$ is irreducible, $\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \text{Im}(F_1)$ or $\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \text{Im}(F_2)$. This means that $\forall x_1, \dots, x_{g-4} \in X$, $h^0(x_1 + \dots + x_{g-4} + 3P) = h^0(K_X - x_1 - \dots - x_{g-4} - 3P) \geq 2$, which is absurd, since $h^0(K_X - 3P) = g - 3$, because X is non hyperelliptic and non trigonal.

So assume that P is a simple ramification point for $\phi := \phi_{|L|} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, let R be its ramification divisor and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_X \xrightarrow{\phi^*} \phi^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\phi \rightarrow 0.$$

Notice that $\phi^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1} = T_X(R)$, $\mathcal{N}_\phi = T_X(R)|_R$ and ϕ_* is the inclusion map of T_X in $T_X(R)$, so that our exact sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow T_X(R) \rightarrow T_X(R)|_R \rightarrow 0.$$

We have a cohomology exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(T_X(R)) \xrightarrow{i} H^0(T_X(R)|_R) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(T_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

We recall (see e.g. [2]) that $H^0(T_X(R)) = H^0(\phi^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ parametrises the infinitesimal deformations of the morphism ϕ which do not move X and it contains the three dimensional subspace $\phi^*(H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^1}))$. Note that since $H^1(T_X(R)) = 0$ any first order deformation extends.

The strategy of the proof is to exhibit an infinitesimal deformation $\rho \in H^0(T_X(R))$ of ϕ such that $i(\rho) \notin H^0(T_X(R - P)|_{R-P}) \subset H^0(T_X(R)|_R)$ and ρ is not contained in $\phi^*(H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^1}))$. The assumption that the ramification in P is simple implies that ρ extends to a deformation of ϕ such that the new map is not ramified in P anymore.

Denote by $\xi_P \in H^1(T_X)$ a Schiffer variation in P , that is, by definition a generator of the subspace $\text{Im}(H^0(T_X(P))|_P) \subset H^1(T_X)$. Since P is a ramification point, $\xi_P \in \beta(H^0(T_X(R)|_R))$. Notice that if we prove that $\beta(H^0(T_X(R - P)|_{R-P})) \subset \beta(H^0(T_X(R)|_R))$ generates $H^1(T_X)$, then there exists an element $x \in H^0(T_X(R - P)|_{R-P})$ such that $\xi_P = \beta(x)$. So if

$c_P \in H^0(T_X(P)|_P) \subset H^0(T_X(R)|_R)$ is such that $\beta(c_P) = \xi_P$, the element $\eta = c_P - x \in H^0(T_X(R)|_R)$ maps to zero in $H^1(T_X)$, thus there exists an element $\theta \in H^0(T_X(R))$ such that $\eta = i(\theta)$ and $\eta \notin H^0(T_X(R-P)|_{R-P})$ since the coefficient of c_P in η is non zero.

Therefore we want to prove that there exists $L \in \mathcal{V}$ with simple ramification in P such that $\beta(H^0(T_X(R-P)|_{R-P}))$ generates $H^1(T_X)$. Assume to the contrary that for any $L \in \mathcal{V}$, $\beta(H^0(T_X(R-P)|_{R-P}))$ lies on a hyperplane in $H^1(T_X)$, i.e. there exists an element $\omega \in H^0(2K_X)$ such that $\omega(P_i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$, and $\text{ord}_{P_i} \omega = n_i$, where $\sum_{i \geq 2} n_i P_i = R - P$. Then we have

$$2K_X \equiv \text{div}(\omega) = P + \sum_{i \geq 2} n_i P_i - P + q \equiv K_X + 2L - P + q,$$

for some $q \in X$. So for any L there exists a point $q \in X$ such that

$$2L \equiv K_X + P - q.$$

Since L varies in an open subset of W_{g-1}^1 , which has dimension at least $g-4$, while q varies in X , if $g \geq 6$ this cannot hold for all $L \in \mathcal{V}$.

If $g = 5$ we still get a contradiction noting that the multiplication by 2 in the jacobian restricts to a connected topological covering $\tilde{X}$ of the curve X of degree 2^{10} corresponding to the surjective homomorphism $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Hence $\tilde{X}$ can't coincide with a component of W_4^1 which is a 2 to 1 covering of a quintic plane curve ramified along at most 10 points (cf. [3] p.270).

Finally we show that we can choose the deformation outside $\phi^*(H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^1}))$. Set $W = i(H^0(T_X(R)) \cap H^0(T_X(R-P)|_{R-P}))$. We have just proven that, for L general, $i(H^0(T_X(R))) \not\subset H^0(T_X(R-P)|_{R-P})$, so $\dim(W) = h^0(T_X(R)) - 1 = g - 2 \geq 3$, since $g \geq 5$. Thus if $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ are three linearly independent elements in W , the four elements $\{i(\theta) = \eta, \eta + e_1, \eta + e_2, \eta + e_3\}$ are linearly independent, since η is not contained in $H^0(T_X(R-P)|_{R-P})$ therefore there exists a deformation ρ such that $i(\rho) \in \{i(\theta) = \eta, \eta + e_1, \eta + e_2, \eta + e_3\} \subset i(H^0(T_X(R)))$ which does not belong to the 3 dimensional subspace $i(\phi^*(H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^1})))$. Hence ρ is the deformation we are looking for.

We have proven that if L does not belong to the curve γ given by the equation $2L \equiv K_X + P - q$, with $q \in X$, we can deform L in such a way that P is not a ramification point anymore. Analogously if $L_1 := K_X - L$ does not belong to the curve γ we can deform $L_1 = K_X - L$ in such a way that P is not a ramification point of the corresponding morphism anymore. So if we take $L \in \mathcal{V} - \gamma - \iota^{-1}(\gamma)$, with $\iota : W_{g-1}^1 \rightarrow W_{g-1}^1$ the involution sending L to $K_X - L$, we find deformations of L (then also of $K_X - L$) such that if L' is the deformed line bundle, P is neither a ramification point of $\phi|_{L'}$ nor of $\phi|_{K_X - L'}$.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Andreotti, A., Mayer, A., On period relations for abelian integrals on algebraic curves, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **21** (1967), no. 2, 189–238.
- [2] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Su una congettura di Petri, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **56** (1981), no. 1, 1–38.
- [3] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths, P., Harris, J. *Geometry of algebraic curves, Vol. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 267. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [4] Ballico, E., Fontanari, C., *On the surjectivity of higher Gaussian maps for complete intersection curves*. *Ricerche Mat.* 53 (2004), no. 1, 79–85 (2005).
- [5] Bertram, A., Ein, L., Lazarsfeld, R. Surjectivity of Gaussian Maps for Line Bundles of Large Degree on Curves in *Algebraic Geometry*, Chicago 1989, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1479. Springer, Berlin, (1991), 15–25.
- [6] Brawner, J., The Gaussian-Wahl map for trigonal curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123**, no. 5, (1995), 1357–1361.
- [7] Ciliberto, C., Harris, J., Miranda, R. On the surjectivity of the Wahl map, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **57**, (1988), 829–858.
- [8] Ciliberto, C., Miranda, R., Gaussian maps for certain families of canonical curves, *Complex projective geometry* (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1992) 106–127.
- [9] Ciliberto, C., Miranda, R., Gaussian map for canonical curves of low genus, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **61** no. 2, (1990), 417–443.
- [10] Colombo, E., Frediani, P., Siegel metric and curvature of the moduli space of curves, preprint.
- [11] Colombo, E., Pirola, G.P., Tortora, A., Hodge-Gaussian maps, *Ann. Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **30** (2001), no. 1, 125–146.
- [12] Coppens, M., Keem, C., Martens, G., Primitive linear series on curves, *Manuscripta Math.* **77** (1992), 237–264.
- [13] Duffot, J., Miranda, R., The Gaussian map for rational ruled surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **330** (1992), 447–459.
- [14] Green, M. L., Quadrics of rank four in the ideal of a canonical curve, *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984), n.1, 85–104.
- [15] Green, M. L., Infinitesimal methods in Hodge theory, in *Algebraic Cycles and Hodge Theory*, Torino 1993, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1594. Springer, Berlin, (1994), 1–92.
- [16] Griffiths, P. A., Infinitesimal variations of Hodge structures (III): determinantal varieties and the infinitesimal invariant of normal functions, *Comp. Math.* **50** (1983), 267–324.
- [17] Maroni, A., Le serie lineari sulle curve trigonali, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **25** (1946), 341–354.
- [18] Mori, S., Mukai, S., The uniruledness of the moduli space of curves of genus 11, in *Algebraic Geometry Proceedings Tokyo, Kyoto*, 1982, Springer LNM **1016** (1983), 334–353.
- [19] Sernesi, E., Moduli of rational fibrations, arXiv:math/0702865v2.
- [20] Voisin, C., Sur l’application de Wahl des courbes satisfaisant la condition de Brill-Noether-Petri, *Acta Math.* **168** (1992), 249–272.
- [21] Wahl, J., Gaussian maps on algebraic curves, *J. Diff. Geom.* **32** (1990), no. 1, 77–98.
- [22] Wahl, J., Introduction to Gaussian maps on an algebraic curve, *Complex projective geometry* (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1992), 304–323.
- [23] Wahl, J., The Jacobian algebra of a graded Gorenstein singularity, *Duke Math. Jour.* **55** (1987), 843–871.